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Principles on the Prevention of Conflict-Related Sexual Violence (CRSV) in Detention Settings

1. All forms of CRSV in detention settings are prohibited in all circumstances.
2. Detaining authorities have a general duty to take proactive and effective measures to prevent CRSV in detention settings.
3. Preventive policies and processes should address and mitigate heightened risks of CRSV during capture or arrest, interrogation, detainee transfer, and body searches.
4. Detainees should be kept in accommodation that takes into consideration their specific needs, risks, and circumstances, including based on sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or expression, and sex characteristics.
5. Detention personnel should be trained to prevent and appropriately respond to CRSV.
6. Detaining and other relevant authorities should ensure timely, effective, and adequate medical response, inclusive of physical, mental, and psychosocial care and services, to all survivors of CRSV in detention settings.
7. Relevant independent monitoring bodies should have confidential and unhindered access to all detention settings to monitor treatment of detainees and document cases of CRSV and other abuses.
8. Survivors of CRSV in detention settings, their families, and their representatives, should have safe access to complaint mechanisms that allow them to report sexual violence.
9. CRSV in detention settings must be effectively investigated and, when appropriate, prosecuted.
10. Survivors of CRSV in detention settings are entitled to adequate, effective, and timely remedy and reparation.
Acronyms

CAT  Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment
CEDAW  Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women
CRC  Convention on the Rights of the Child
CRPD  Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities
CRSV  Conflict-related sexual violence
ICC  International Criminal Court
ICCPR  International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights
ICESCR  International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights
ICL  International criminal law
ICRC  International Committee of the Red Cross
IHL  International humanitarian law
IHRL  International human rights law
NSAG  Non-state armed group
OHCHR  Office of the [UN] High Commissioner for Human Rights
OPCAT  Optional Protocol to CAT
INTRODUCTION

Purpose and scope

The pervasive nature and appalling consequences of sexual violence against women, men, girls, and boys, including lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex (LGBTI+) persons, in situations of armed conflict are well established. Detention settings are a key context of vulnerability to conflict-related sexual violence (CRSV), as noted by United Nations Security Council Resolution 2467 (2019). These Principles aim to outline and clarify existing international law and standards to prevent and address CRSV in detention settings.

These Principles apply to all types of detention settings where people are deprived of their liberty for reasons linked to armed conflict (international and non-international). Such settings include official and unofficial places of detention operated by state security forces, such as armed forces, police, border guards, and others. The Principles also apply to situations of deprivation of liberty by non-state armed groups (NSAGs). Under international humanitarian law (IHL) it is contested whether NSAGs have a legal basis to lawfully deprive people of their liberty. Nonetheless, whenever NSAGs detain people, they must comply with a range of detention-related IHL obligations, including to treat detainees humanely and take measures to prevent and address sexual violence.

The Principles identify preventive and responsive measures applicable to all persons deprived of their liberty in situations of armed conflict. While the Principles are specific to situations of ongoing armed conflict, they are also relevant to the immediate post-conflict phase, when sexual violence linked to the conflict may still be occurring in detention settings.

While the scope of these principles is focused on CRSV, in practice, places of detention in armed conflict contexts often house a mix of detainees whose detention is related to the armed conflict and others whose detention is not so related. These populations may or may not be separated from each other. In the implementation of the guidance set out in these Principles, prevention and response efforts will need to be integrated with systems and procedures aimed at ensuring that all detainees are protected from sexual violence, regardless of the reasons for their detention and connection to armed conflict.
Protecting the rights of detainees, including against sexual violence, is especially challenging in situations of armed conflict. Nevertheless, there are examples where conflict-affected states have taken steps that have led to improvements.

These Principles, based on existing international law and standards, aim to support the actions of national and international actors to prevent CRSV in detention settings. The commentary accompanying each Principle identifies the sources of legally binding obligations and other international standards of normative value, and includes recommendations to support their implementation.

**CRSV against men and boys in detention settings**

In accordance with its mandate, All Survivors Project (ASP) has researched and documented CRSV against men and boys in different detention settings in countries affected by armed conflict. Men and boys can be particularly vulnerable to arrest and detention in conflict-related settings – including as real or perceived opponents of the state or because they have or are presumed to have links with armed opposition groups – and have been subjected to sexual violence in such contexts. Situations of mass detention of civilians can also pose a significant risk of sexual violence, including against detained men and boys. Male refugees and asylum-seekers have been subjected to sexual violence in the context of immigration detention in some countries, and men and boys have also been subjected to sexual violence when deprived of their liberty by NSAGs.

Broader discriminatory treatment and violence against LGBTI+ persons can also be heightened in detention contexts where LGBTI+ persons have increased vulnerability to human rights abuses including sexual violence.

Historically, interpretations of IHL and international human rights law (IHRL) have tended to focus on the protection of women and girls, who are disproportionately affected by CRSV. In recent years UN treaty bodies and other experts have also begun to address CRSV against men, boys, and LGBTI+ victims/survivors in detention settings, clarifying how human rights protection standards apply to them. It is hoped that this increased attention will help to overcome some of the obstacles to preventing and addressing CRSV, particularly by tackling the stigma attached to sexual violence against men and boys and the specific vulnerabilities faced by LGBTI+ persons.
Relevant international law

Since 2000, the UN Security Council has referred to the obligation to prohibit, prevent, and redress CRSV by invoking a broad combination of IHRL, IHL, and international criminal law (ICL).

Sources of relevant law:
- International treaties, including:
  - International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) (1966)
  - Convention against Torture and Other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (CAT) (1984)
  - Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women (CEDAW) (1979)
  - Geneva Conventions of 1949 and Additional Protocols I and II (1977)
- Customary international law
- Domestic law and military codes

IHRL applies at all times and in all places, whereas IHL is the law applicable specifically in situations of armed conflict; the two fields are complementary. ICL provides for accountability for international crimes, which may or may not have been committed during an armed conflict.

IHRL and IHL require states to take measures to prevent and respond to CRSV, including in detention settings. While states bear primary responsibility for the protection of human rights within their jurisdiction, IHL related to non-international armed conflicts applies to all parties to such a conflict, including NSAGs, as do prohibitions on rape and other forms of sexual violence under customary IHL. There is also an emerging consensus that organised NSAGs exercising some degree of control over territory and populations within that territory may be bound by IHRL.

As noted throughout the Principles, international law and standards offer useful and, in some cases, detailed guidance to prevent and address CRSV in detention settings. Relevant UN Security Council resolutions include 1820 (2008), 1888 (2009), 1960 (2010), 2106 (2013), 2242 (2015) and 2467 (2019).
Definitions

Conflict-related sexual violence: “refers to rape, sexual slavery, forced prostitution, forced pregnancy, forced abortion, enforced sterilization, forced marriage, and any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity perpetrated against women, men, girls or boys that is directly or indirectly linked to a conflict. This link may be evident in the profile of the perpetrator, who is often affiliated with a State or non-State armed group, which includes terrorist entities or networks; the profile of the victim/survivor, who is frequently an actual or perceived member of a persecuted political, ethnic or religious minority or targeted on the basis of actual or perceived sexual orientation or gender identity; the climate of impunity, which is generally associated with State collapse; cross-border consequences such as displacement or trafficking; and/or violations of the provisions of a ceasefire agreement. The term also encompasses trafficking in persons for the purpose of sexual violence and/or exploitation, when committed in situations of conflict.”

Detention setting: any place where a person is deprived of their liberty for reasons linked to an armed conflict (international or non-international). Detention settings include official and unofficial places of detention by state security forces (such as armed forces, police, border guards, and others) as well as detention by NSAGs.

LGBTI+: an acronym for lesbian, gay, bisexual, transgender, and intersex persons that is used as shorthand for persons of diverse sexual orientations, gender identities and/or expressions, and sex characteristics. Sexual orientation refers to “a person’s physical, romantic, and/or emotional attraction towards other people,” whereas gender identity refers to each person’s deeply felt internal and individual experience of gender, which may or may not correspond with the sex assigned at birth, including the personal sense of the body (which may involve, if freely chosen, modification of bodily appearance or function by medical, surgical, or other means) and other gender expressions, including dress, speech, and mannerisms; sex characteristics refer to “each person’s physical characteristics relating to sex, including genitalia and other reproductive anatomy, chromosomes and hormones, and secondary physical characteristics emerging from puberty.”

Non-state armed group: an armed group that is distinct from state security forces and which uses violence to pursue its political, religious, ideological, or other objectives. The term encompasses many different types of entities from ill-defined, loosely structured groups to those with higher levels of organisation, command structures, and/or some level of territorial control.

Victim/survivor: any child or adult who has suffered CRSV in whatever form, including being forced to witness CRSV against another person or persons. The term “victim/survivor” acknowledges that people who have experienced sexual violence may identify themselves as a victim or as a survivor and that each individual has the right to choose the most appropriate language to express their individual experience.”
Methodology

These Principles were drafted by ASP and the International Human Rights Clinic at Harvard Law School on the basis of a review of international law and standards and secondary research on CRSV, including: reports, briefings, guidelines, protocols, and other publications by UN entities, agencies, bodies, mechanisms, and experts, international criminal tribunals, non-governmental organisations (NGOs), initiatives such as the United Kingdom Government’s Preventing Sexual Violence Initiative (PSVI), and academic sources. These Principles reflect ASP’s research on CRSV against men and boys.
1 UN Security Council Resolution 2467, 23 April 2019, UN Doc. S/RES/2467 (2019), para. 32 (noting that “sexual violence in armed conflict and post-conflict situations disproportionately affects woman and girls” and recognising that “men and boys are also targets of sexual violence in conflict and post-conflict settings, including in the context of detention settings and those associated with armed groups”).


7 As the ICRC notes, this is an unsettled area of law. See ICRC Report, International Humanitarian Law and the Challenges of Contemporary Armed Conflicts (December 2015), p. 54.

8 The definition is from Office of the Special Representative of the Secretary-General, Conflict-Related Sexual Violence: Report of the United Nations Secretary-General, UN Doc. S/2020/487 (3 June 2020), para. 4.

9 Article 4(2) of the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (OPCAT) defines deprivation of liberty as “any form of detention or imprisonment or the placement of a person in a public or private custodial setting which that person is not permitted to leave at will by order of any judicial, administrative or other authority.” See also UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 35 – Article 9 (Liberty and security of person), UN Doc. CCPR/C/GC/35 (16 December 2014) [hereinafter UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 35], para. 5 (“Deprivation of liberty involves more severe restriction of motion within a narrower space than mere interference with liberty of movement under article 12. Examples of deprivation of liberty include police custody, arraigo, remand detention, imprisonment after conviction, house arrest, administrative detention, involuntary hospitalization, institutional custody of children and confinement to a restricted area of an airport, as well as being involuntarily transported.”).


PRINCIPLE 1:
PROHIBITION OF CRSV IN DETENTION

All forms of CRSV in detention settings are prohibited in all circumstances

1. CRSV against any individual in detention settings is prohibited in all circumstances under international law. Obligations to prevent, monitor, investigate, and hold perpetrators accountable for CRSV stem from this general prohibition. The prohibition of CRSV in detention settings flows from established IHRL, IHL, and ICL. In some circumstances, international law prohibits CRSV directly; for instance, certain provisions of IHL explicitly prohibit rape and other forms of sexual violence. In other cases, international legal rules prohibit conduct—such as torture—that could include CRSV.

2. Taken together, these international obligations prohibit CRSV in detention settings regardless of the gender of the victim. This prohibition applies to all parties to a conflict, including NSAGs. International law also requires states to adopt domestic legislation to implement the obligation to prohibit CRSV in detention settings. States should additionally review domestic legislation to ensure that legal definitions in the area of sexual violence are gender-inclusive to cover all individuals, irrespective of their sex, sexual orientation, or gender identity.12

3. Since 2000, the UN Security Council has referred to the prohibition on CRSV by invoking a broad combination of IHRL, IHL, and ICL. Security Council Resolution 1960 (2010), for example, explicitly reminds all parties to armed conflict of “the prohibition on all forms of sexual violence” under international law.13 As the Security Council has recognised, this prohibition applies regardless of gender.14
Prohibition under IHRL

4. Under IHRL, sexual violence, including in detention settings, violates a range of rights. These rights could include: the right to life; the right to freedom from torture and other cruel, inhuman and degrading treatment or punishment (other ill-treatment); the right to health; and the right of all persons deprived of their liberty to be treated humanely and with respect for their dignity.  

5. CRSV can constitute torture or other ill-treatment, which is forbidden in all circumstances under the ICCPR, the CAT, the CRPD, and the CRC.  

6. Specific to the detention context, the ICCPR requires that “all persons deprived of their liberty shall be treated with humanity and with respect for the inherent dignity of the human person.” Further, the Body of Principles for the Protection of All Persons under Any Form of Detention or Imprisonment (Body of Principles) and the revised UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the Mandela Rules) reinforce this obligation and the prohibition on torture and other ill-treatment in the specific context of detention settings.  

7. The UN Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (UN Special Rapporteur on torture) has recognised that the powerlessness of persons in detention is an important consideration in the determination of torture, where “[r]ape is an extreme expression” of the power disparity between guards and detainees in detention settings. The Special Rapporteur has also noted that “lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender persons who are deprived of their liberty are at particular risk of torture and ill-treatment.” In addition, CRSV is a form of gender-based violence (GBV) and GBV is prohibited under international law.  

8. Under the CRC, the detention of anyone under the age of 18 years must be used only “as a measure of last resort and for the shortest appropriate period of time,” but when children are detained, echoing the ICCPR, the CRC requires that they be treated with humanity and respect for the inherent dignity of the human person. In general, the CRC requires states to protect children from all forms of sexual exploitation and sexual abuse. The Committee on the Rights of the Child (the treaty body of independent experts established to monitor implementation of the CRC) has recognised the important role of gender in sexual violence.  

Prohibition under IHL

9. Under IHL, sexual violence is prohibited in both international and non-international armed conflicts. In order for CRSV to fall under the purview of IHL, the conflict must have, at a minimum, “played a substantial part in the perpetrator’s ability to
commit [sexual violence], his decision to commit it, the manner in which it was committed or the purpose for which it was committed. Given broad prohibition in domestic law, military codes, and international treaties, and the consistent condemnation of such acts by the UN, states, and international organisations, the prohibition on rape and other forms of sexual violence is understood to be a customary rule of IHL. Because IHL rules differ between international and non-international armed conflicts, the sources of prohibition depend on the type of conflict involved. Though treaty sources vary, the outcome is a uniform customary prohibition of sexual violence in both international and non-international armed conflict.

10. Under the Fourth Geneva Convention of 1949, which deals with the protection of civilians during international armed conflicts, non-combatants “are entitled, in all circumstances, to respect for their persons” and their “honour.” Moreover, they “shall at all times be humanely treated.” The International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) has interpreted these provisions to prohibit acts, such as CRSV, that jeopardise the mental and physical well-being of the individual. The Fourth Geneva Convention also explicitly provides that civilian women “shall be especially protected against any attack on their honour, in particular against rape, enforced prostitution, or any form of indecent assault.”

11. In addition to the prohibition contained within the Fourth Geneva Convention, Additional Protocol I to the Geneva Conventions (which applies in international armed conflicts) prohibits “violence to the life, health, or physical or mental well-being of persons” including “torture of all kinds, whether physical or mental”; and “outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment, enforced prostitution and any form of indecent assault.” Additional Protocol I also specifically addresses the protection of children, including that they shall be protected “against any form of indecent assault.”

12. With regards to specific protections for detainees, the Third Geneva Convention, which covers prisoners of war in international armed conflicts, requires that they “must at all times be humanely treated,” and prohibits “any unlawful act or omission by the Detaining Power causing death or seriously endangering the health of the prisoner.” Mirroring the Fourth Geneva Convention, Article 14 entitles prisoners of war to “respect for their persons and their honour.”

13. Non-international armed conflicts are subject to Article 3 common to the four Geneva Conventions, which is customary IHL and binding on all parties to a conflict. Common Article 3 sets minimum standards of treatment, implicitly prohibiting sexual violence against civilians and persons hors de combat (for example, fighters who have been captured or laid down their arms) through its prohibition – “at any time and in any place whatsoever” – of “violence to life and person,” “cruel treatment and torture,” “outrages on personal dignity,” and “humiliating and degrading
treatment,”⁴⁰ as well as in its requirement of humane treatment.⁴¹ The ICRC commentary to Common Article 3 clarifies that “the prohibition of sexual violence is recognized to encompass violence not only against women and girls, but any person, including men and boys.”⁴² More specifically, Additional Protocol II (which applies in non-international armed conflicts) prohibits “outrages upon personal dignity, in particular humiliating and degrading treatment, rape, enforced prostitution and any form of indecent assault” against all civilians and persons hors de combat.⁴³

14. Organised NSAGs are bound by Common Article 3, by Additional Protocol II, and by customary IHL. Moreover, some NSAGs have adopted commitments declaring themselves bound by IHL rules, including rules covering the prohibition of CRSV.⁴⁴

**International criminal law and sexual violence**

Under the framework of the International Criminal Court (ICC), as established under the 1998 Rome Statute, an act of sexual violence can constitute a crime against humanity,⁴⁵ a war crime,⁴⁶ and/or genocide.⁴⁷ The ICC defines rape broadly to include any invasion of “the body of a person by conduct resulting in penetration, however slight, of any part of the body of the victim or of the perpetrator with a sexual organ, or of the anal or genital opening of the victim with any object or any other part of the body.”⁴⁸ Under this definition, the crime includes violations against all persons, regardless of their sex or gender.

In 1997, the International Criminal Tribunal for Rwanda (ICTR) defined sexual violence as “any act of a sexual nature which is committed on a person under circumstances which are coercive.”⁴⁹ This gender-inclusive definition has been incorporated into the ICC’s elements of sexual violence, and covers a much broader range of criminal behaviour than rape. For example, international courts have found mutilation of sexual organs⁵⁰ and forced public nudity⁵¹ to constitute crimes of sexual violence.
Endnotes


13 UN Security Council Resolution 1960 (2010), preamble para. iv ("Reiterating the necessity for all States and non-State parties to conflicts to comply fully with their obligations under applicable international law, including the prohibition on all forms of sexual violence"); see also UN Security Council Resolution 2106 (2013), para. 10 ("Reiterates its demand for the complete cessation with immediate effect by all parties to armed conflict of all acts of sexual violence").


15 See ICCPR Arts. 6, 7, 9, 10; International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) Art. 12. As noted above, IHRL largely speaks to the obligations of states, but there is an emerging consensus that organised NSAGs exercising some degree of control over territory and populations within that territory can be bound by IHRL. See also ICCPR Art. 9(1), which stipulates that “Everyone has the right to liberty and security of person.” According to the UN Human Rights Committee, the independent expert body established under the ICCPR to monitor its implementation, Article 9 protects “individuals against intentional infliction of bodily or mental injury, regardless of whether the victim is detained or non-detained.” Further, ‘everyone’ in Article 9(1) “includes, among others, girls and boys, soldiers, persons with disabilities, lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender persons, aliens, refugees and asylum seekers, stateless persons, migrant workers, persons convicted of crime, and persons who have engaged in terrorist activity.” UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 35, para. 9.

16 ICCPR Art. 7 (“No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.”) Under Article 4 of the ICCPR, there can be no derogation from Article 7, even “in time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation.” This provision recognises "the peremptory nature" of this fundamental right. UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 29, States of Emergency (Article 4). UN Doc. CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.11 (31 August 2011) [hereinafter UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 29], para. 11. ICCPR Art. 7 ("No one shall be subjected to torture or to cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.") Under Article 4 of the ICCPR, there can be no derogation from Article 7, even “in time of public emergency which threatens the life of the nation.” This provision recognises "the peremptory nature" of this fundamental right. UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 29, States of Emergency (Article 4). UN Doc. CCPR/C/21/Rev.1/Add.11 (31 August 2011) [hereinafter UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 29], para. 11. Therefore, Article 4 ICCPR cannot be used to justify torture.

17 CAT Art. 1(1) (defining torture (for the purpose of this Convention) as “any act by which severe pain or suffering, whether physical or mental, is intentionally inflicted on a person for such purposes as obtaining from him or a third person information or a confession, punishing him for an act he or a third person has committed or is suspected of having committed, or intimidating or coercing him or a third person, or for any reason based on discrimination of any kind, when such pain or suffering is inflicted by or at the instigation of or with the consent or acquiescence of a public official or other person acting in an official capacity. It does not include pain or suffering arising only from, inherent in or incidental to lawful sanctions."). In addition, “No exceptional circumstances whatsoever, whether a state of war or a threat of war, internal political instability or any other public emergency, may be invoked as justification of torture” (CAT Art. 2.2). The UN Committee against Torture, the independent expert body established under the CAT to monitor its implementation, has stated that “the absolute and non-derogable character of this prohibition has become accepted as a matter of customary international law. The provisions of article 2 reinforce this peremptory jus cogens norm against torture...” UN Committee against Torture, General Comment No. 2, Implementation of article 2 by States parties, UN Doc. CAT/C/GC/2 (24 January 2008), [hereinafter UN Committee against Torture General, Comment No. 2], para. 1. In the case that an incident of CRSV does not amount to torture, it could amount to “other acts of cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment.” See CAT Art. 16(1). According to the Committee against Torture, “The obligations to prevent torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment (hereinafter ‘ill-treatment’) under article 16, paragraph 1, are indivisible, interdependent and interrelated. The obligation to prevent ill-treatment in practice overlaps with and is largely congruent with the obligation to prevent torture... in practice, the definitional threshold between ill-treatment and torture is often not clear. Experience demonstrates that the conditions that give rise to ill-treatment frequently facilitate torture and therefore the measures required to prevent torture must be applied to prevent ill-treatment. Accordingly, the Committee has considered the prohibition of ill-treatment to be likewise non-derogable under the Convention and its prevention to be an effective and non-derogable measure.” UN Committee against Torture, General Comment No. 2, para. 3.

18 CRPD Art. 16.

19 CRC Art. 37.

20 ICCPR Art. 10(1). Although this right is not explicitly mentioned in the list of non-derogable rights in article 4, paragraph 2, “the [Human Rights] Committee believes that here the Covenant expresses a norm of general international law not subject to derogation. This is supported by the references to the inherent dignity of the human person in the preamble to the Covenant and by close connection between articles 7 and 10.” UN Human Rights Committee, General Comment No. 29, para. 13(a).

21 Adopted by UN General Assembly resolution 43/173 (9 December 1988), Principle 1 (“All persons under any form of detention or imprisonment shall be treated in a humane manner and with respect for the inherent dignity of the human person”), Principle 6 (“No circumstance whatever may be invoked as a justification for torture or other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment”).
Adopted by UN General Assembly on 17 December 2015, UN Doc. A/RES/70/175 (8 January 2016), Rule 1 (“All prisoners shall be treated with the respect due to their inherent dignity and value as human beings. No prisoner shall be subjected to, and all prisoners shall be protected from, torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment, for which no circumstances whatsoever may be invoked as a justification.”). It should be noted that preliminary observation 2 to the Mandela Rules recognises that “[i]n view of the great variety of legal, social, economic and geographical conditions in the world, it is evident that not all of the rules are capable of application in all places and at all times. They should, however, serve to stimulate a constant endeavour to overcome practical difficulties in the way of their application, in the knowledge that they represent, as a whole, the minimum conditions which are accepted as suitable by the United Nations.”

UN Human Rights Council, Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture and other cruel, inhuman or degrading treatment or punishment [hereinafter Special Rapporteur on torture], UN Doc. A/HRC/7/3 (15 January 2008), para. 28.


For example, the CEDAW has been interpreted by the Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women (CEDAW’s treaty body) to prohibit GBV directed against women as a form of unlawful discrimination. See UN Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, General Recommendation No. 19: Violence against women (1992), para. 6 (recognising GBV as a form of sex discrimination under CEDAW Art. 1); UN Committee on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination Against Women, General Recommendation No. 35: Gender Based Violence against women, updating general recommendation No. 19, UN Doc. CEDAW/C/GC/35 (26 July 2017), para. 2 (noting that “the prohibition of gender-based violence against women has evolved into a principle of customary international law”). The UN Special Rapporteur on torture has also recognised that CAT’s definition of torture or other ill-treatment may encompass gender-based violence. See UN Human Rights Council, Report of the Special Rapporteur on torture, UN Doc. A/HRC/31/57 (5 January 2016), para. 8.

CRC Art. 37. See also United Nations Rules for the Protection of Juveniles Deprived of their Liberty, Adopted by UN General Assembly 45/113 (14 December 1990) [hereinafter Havana Rules], Rule 2; UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, General Comment No. 10: Children’s Rights in Juvenile Justice, UN Doc. CRC/C/GC/10 (25 April 2007), para. 1.

See CRC Art. 34. In the context of caregiving, CRC Art. 19(1) further requires states parties to take appropriate measures to protect children from “all forms of physical or mental violence, injury or abuse, neglect or negligent treatment, maltreatment or exploitation, including sexual abuse” (emphasis added). For more detailed information, see UN General Assembly, Report of the Independent Expert leading the United Nations global study on children deprived of liberty, UN Doc. A/74/136 (11 July 2019).

See UN Committee on the Rights of the Child, General comment No. 13: The right of the child to freedom from all forms of violence, UN Doc. CRC/C/GC/13 (18 April 2011), paras. 19, 72.

At its most basic, an international armed conflict involves two or more States resorting to the use of force against each other. A non-international armed conflict, on the other hand, involves an outbreak of hostilities between governmental forces and NSAGs, or solely between NSAGs, which meets a certain threshold of violence and which involves parties meeting a certain level of organisation. See ICRC Opinion Paper, How is the Term “Armed Conflict” Defined in International Humanitarian Law? (March 2008), https://perma.cc/VK4N-PSJL.

Prosecutor v. Dragoljub Kunarac and Others, International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia (ICTY), Trial Chamber (22 February 2001), para. 58.

See ICRC, Rules of Customary International Humanitarian Law, Rules 93 and 134.


Fourth Geneva Convention Art. 27.

See ICRC, Commentary of 1958 to the Fourth Geneva Convention, Article 27 (“The right of respect for the person must be understood in its widest sense: it covers all the rights of the individual, that is, the rights and qualities which are inseparable from the human being by the very fact of his existence and his mental and physical powers; it includes, in particular, the right to physical, moral and intellectual integrity – an essential attribute of the human person.”).


Protocol Additional to the Geneva Conventions of 12 August 1949, relating to the Protection of Victims of International Armed Conflicts (1977) (hereinafter Additional Protocol I) Art. 75(2).

Additional Protocol I Art. 77(1).


See, e.g., Their Words, Deed of Commitment under Geneva Call for the Prohibition of Sexual Violence in Situations of Armed Conflict and Towards the Elimination of Gender Discrimination (listing 24 NSAGs that have committed to “take all feasible measures towards effectively preventing […] acts of sexual violence by any person, in areas where [they] exercise authority” and “to ensure that persons deprived of their liberty are protected from sexual violence”). Their Words database is at theirwords.org/pages/geneva-call (last accessed 1 September 2020).

Rome Statute of the ICC (1998) [hereinafter Rome Statute] Art. 7(1)(g) (establishing that “[r]ape […] or any other form of sexual violence of comparable gravity” can constitute a crime against humanity if “committed as part of a widespread or systematic attack directed against any civilian population, with knowledge of the attack”).

Rome Statute Arts. 8(2)(b)(xxii) and 8(2)(e)(vi) (defining war crimes to include “rape […] or any other form of sexual violence also constituting a grave breach of the Geneva Conventions”).

Rome Statute Art. 6(b) (defining genocide to include “[c]ausing serious bodily or mental harm to members of the group.”); Office of the Prosecutor of the International Criminal Court, Policy Paper on Sexual and Gender-Based Crimes (June 2014), para. 31 (“The Office position is that acts of rape and other forms of sexual violence may, depending on the evidence, be an integral component of the pattern of destruction inflicted upon a particular group of people, and in such circumstances, may be charged as genocide.”)

See International Criminal Court, Elements of Crimes Art. 7(1)(g)-1 (crime against humanity of rape) Art. 8(2)(b) (xxii)-1 (war crime of rape in international armed conflicts), Article 8(2)(e)(vi)-1 (war crime of rape in non-international armed conflicts).
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Detaining authorities have a general duty to take proactive and effective measures to prevent CRSV in detention settings

1. The prohibition of sexual violence in international law is accompanied by a general duty to prevent it. This broad requirement to proactively and effectively protect all individuals against CRSV in all circumstances, and in detention settings in particular, is reinforced by the more specific prevention measures described in subsequent principles.

2. In conflict settings, the UN Security Council has demanded that “all parties . . . immediately take appropriate measures to protect civilians, including women and girls, from all forms of sexual violence”\(^{52}\) and has also empowered the Secretary-General and other UN entities to monitor and coordinate national efforts to prevent CRSV.\(^{53}\) In 2019, the Security Council called for “a survivor-centered approach in preventing and responding to sexual violence in conflict and post-conflict situations,”\(^{54}\) and noted that detention settings are among the contexts in which men and boys are the targets of sexual violence.\(^{55}\)

The duty to prevent under international law

3. Under IHRL, states must adopt legislative, administrative, judicial, and other measures to prevent human rights violations, including CRSV in detention settings. Generally, under IHRL, states must take effective measures to prevent torture and other ill-treatment (including CRSV)\(^{56}\) and uphold minimum standards of detention in recognition of detainees’ humanity and inherent dignity;\(^{57}\) they must also “take all appropriate measures” to protect individuals\(^{58}\) and prevent abuse.\(^{59}\)

4. The means of prevention can vary widely, and should include implementation of standards or protocols,\(^{60}\) criminalisation and prosecution as a form of deterrence,\(^{51}\)
and education and awareness campaigns. As part of the duty to prevent, detainees should not be held in unofficial or secret detention facilities, but only in “places officially recognized as places of detention,” with readily accessible registers of who is being held, where, and by whom.\(^6\) These and other detailed preventive measures are set out in a range of UN standards, including the Body of Principles, the Mandela Rules, and the UN Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-custodial Measures for Women Offenders (the Bangkok Rules).

5. The duty of states to prevent CRSV is not limited to the prevention of CRSV perpetrated by state actors.\(^6\) Instead, UN treaty bodies and regional human rights bodies have increasingly recognised that states must take broad measures to prevent anyone within their jurisdiction, including private actors, from committing CRSV.\(^6\) States must exercise “due diligence” to prevent CRSV by non-state actors, including by other detainees.\(^6\) The Committee against Torture (the treaty body that monitors implementation of the CAT) has determined that in cases where the state fails to meet this obligation, the state “bears responsibility and its officials should be considered as authors, complicit or otherwise responsible under [CAT] for consenting to or acquiescing in such impermissible acts.”\(^6\)

6. The Committee against Torture has also explicitly recognised that the duty to exercise due diligence in the prevention of torture and other ill-treatment by private parties applies in the case of sexual violence, and that such duties are particularly salient in instances when minority or marginalised groups are involved.\(^6\)

7. The failures of an NSAG to prevent CRSV can be attributable to a state when the NSAG acts under the direction or effective or overall control of the state.\(^6\) In addition, NSAGs are increasingly being called upon to respect international human rights norms, including the duty to prevent CRSV, if such actors have supplanted the state as the de facto governing authority of an area and if they maintain a sufficient organisational apparatus to carry out public functions and uphold human rights norms.\(^6\)

8. With specific regard to children, the CRC requires states to protect children from all forms of sexual exploitation and abuse through appropriate legislative, administrative, and educational measures,\(^7\) which includes giving particular attention to the prevention of abuse in detention.\(^7\) The CRC provides a non-exhaustive list of possible measures to prevent sexual exploitation and abuse, including “social programs to support and care for the child, and means for the identification, reporting, referral, investigation, treatment, and follow-up to cases.”\(^7\) The UN Rules for the Protection of Juveniles Deprived of their Liberty (the Havana Rules) underline the increased need for “protection from physical, sexual and emotional abuse and exploitation” in the case of juvenile detainees.\(^7\)

9. In recognition of the heightened risk of sexual violence women and girls face in detention settings, the Bangkok Rules provide a range of measures to address these risks and other specific needs of women.\(^7\) The Rules include measures to protect women’s dignity during body searches (see Principle 3); to carry out medical
screening to identify sexual violence that may have been suffered prior to admission and to provide gender-specific health services (see Principle 6); as well as to adopt policies and regulations on the conduct of detention personnel “aimed at providing maximum protection for women prisoners from any gender-based physical or verbal violence, abuse and sexual harassment.”

10. It is also important to recognise the particular risk faced by detainees who do not conform to dominant gender perceptions or heteronormative expectations. The UN Special Rapporteur on torture and the UN Independent Expert on protection against violence and discrimination based on sexual orientation and gender identity (Independent Expert on SOGI) have indicated that authorities should take measures to protect and promote the rights and to address the specific needs of LGBTI+ detainees.

11. Under IHL, the prevention of CRSV in both international and non-international conflicts flows from the obligation of states and all parties to an armed conflict to take legal and practical measures to respect and ensure respect for IHL. In addition, some NSAGs have specifically undertaken to take measures to prevent sexual violence and gender discrimination. For example, some have signed the Geneva Call Deed of Commitment for the prohibition of sexual violence in situations of armed conflict and towards the elimination of gender discrimination, which includes an undertaking “to ensure that persons deprived of their liberty are protected from sexual violence.”

---

**Standards and guidance on prevention of CRSV**

- The revised UN Standard Minimum Rules for the Treatment of Prisoners (the Mandela Rules), [https://perma.cc/N5ZT-6YSU](https://perma.cc/N5ZT-6YSU).
- The UN Rules for the Treatment of Women Prisoners and Non-custodial Measures for Women Offenders (the Bangkok Rules), [https://perma.cc/MU3E-7VR5](https://perma.cc/MU3E-7VR5).
- Deed of Commitment Under Geneva Call for the Prohibition of Sexual Violence in Situations of Armed Conflict and Towards the Elimination of Gender Discrimination, [https://perma.cc/JJX5-3ST9](https://perma.cc/JJX5-3ST9).
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PRINCIPLE 3:
PREVENTION OF CRSV
IN SETTINGS OF HEIGHTENED
RISK IN DETENTION

Preventive policies and processes should address and mitigate heightened risks of CRSV during capture or arrest, interrogation, detainee transfer, and body searches

1. At particular points in the process leading up to detention and in detention itself, detainees face heightened risks of sexual violence, such as during: capture or arrest; interrogation or questioning; transfer of detainees between facilities or to other authorities; and body searches. In the context of an armed conflict, when peacetime measures to protect detainees at these points may be under strain and actors may lack capacity to focus resources on providing protection to detainees, risks of sexual violence can become even more acute.

Capture and arrest

2. The reasons for and lead up to an individual’s detention vary widely. Some examples include: law enforcement personnel (either military or civilian) arrest an individual on suspicion of a criminal offence or on security-related grounds under civilian or military laws; during an international armed conflict, the armed forces of a state capture a member of another state’s armed forces; during a non-international armed conflict, an NSAG captures a member of state armed forces, or vice versa, or members of one NSAG capture members of other such groups.

3. All these forms of capture or arrest could take place during the same armed conflict and involve different actors, but every actor should implement measures to prevent sexual violence at the point at which an individual first comes under the control or power of that actor.
4. Actors should ensure that the individual is brought to the correct authority – a judge, commanding officer, or other relevant authority – as soon as possible and record the details of and reasons for that individual’s arrest or capture; they should also inform the individual of their right to contact the outside world. Doing so establishes under what authority or law the individual is being detained, creates a record of that person’s detention, and enables the fact of the individual’s detention to be known externally. Visibility and an official record are the starting points for a system of rules and measures that collectively guards against risks of sexual violence.

5. The Body of Principles, which applies regardless of the reasons for detention, state that records must be maintained of the reasons for the arrest (defined broadly as “the act of apprehending a person for the alleged commission of an offence or by the action of an authority”); the time of arrest, the taking of the arrested person to the place of custody, and his or her first appearance before a judicial or other authority; the identity of the law enforcement officials involved; and precise information on the place of custody.81 The Body of Principles also provides for the detainee’s communication with the outside world, in particular communication with the detainee’s family and legal representatives.82

6. When internment follows the capture or other apprehension of a person in an armed conflict, the ICRC’s Procedural Principles and Safeguards for Internment/Administrative Detention in Armed Conflict and Other Situations of Violence recognise internees’ right to information about the reasons for internment; internees should also be registered, held in a recognised place of internment, and permitted to contact their families.83

**Interrogation or questioning**

7. Interrogation or questioning of detainees carries risks of intimidation, coercion, and mistreatment, including risks of sexual violence. Torture and other ill-treatment, including acts of sexual violence, occur frequently during interrogations and questioning. These risks are heightened in the initial phase of detention if the authority that is interrogating the detainee has decision-making power on where the detainee will be held and under what conditions.84 The separation of the authorities responsible for detention and those responsible for interrogation is an important safeguard against torture or other ill-treatment.85 CAT requires states to keep their interrogation rules and practices “under systematic review” to prevent torture and other ill-treatment.86

8. The Body of Principles prohibits subjecting a detainee who is being interrogated to violence, threats, or methods of interrogation that impair the detainee’s judgment or decision-making capacity.87 The Body of Principles also requires that certified records are kept of the interrogation’s duration, the intervals between questioning, the officials who conducted the interrogation, and any other persons present during the interrogation.88
9. The UN Human Rights Council has likewise enumerated safeguards for interrogations, including that the physical environment and conditions of interrogation are humane, that interrogations are of length that is in accordance with IHRL, and that the personal circumstances of the individual being interrogated are considered.89

Transfer of detainees

10. During transfers between places of detention, detainees face the risk of sexual violence at the hands of individuals involved in the transfer and other detainees being transferred at the same time.90 The frequency of transfer may be particularly high for detainees held by NSAGs that lack control over territory, exposing them to this risk multiple times. Risks may also increase when detainees are transferred from one authority to another, rather than within the same detention system (for example, from the authorities of one state to another state).

11. To prevent sexual violence, gender-sensitive safeguards should be established for transfers. Accordingly, in general, the separation of men and women, as well as juveniles and adults, should be maintained during the course of transfers (although, this may not always be the case, see Principle 4 and discussion there).91 After being transferred, detainees should be able to inform, or require the relevant authority to inform, at a minimum their family members about the transfer and their new place of custody.92

12. Under IHRL, states may not transfer detainees to other states if doing so would expose the detainee to a risk of torture or other ill-treatment, including sexual violence.93 For all parties to an armed conflict, including NSAGs, Common Article 3 has been interpreted to prohibit transfers to other parties who would not meet its minimum guarantees of humane treatment or other applicable IHL rules.94

Body searches

13. IHRL protects the right to privacy and requires that detainees are treated “with humanity and respect for the inherent dignity of the human person.”95 As such, the Mandela Rules only permit searches of a detainee’s body if the search complies with human rights law principles of legality, necessity, and proportionality.96 Before initiating a body search, detaining authorities should evaluate the official basis on which the search would take place, the necessity of a body search (including considering its specific purpose), the manner in which the search would be carried out, and whether it would be the least intrusive method that could be pursued.97
14. The search itself must respect the detainee’s dignity and privacy. As such, body searches should be conducted in private by staff members with appropriate training or qualifications. In order to ensure accountability, the detaining authority should maintain records of: body searches, the reasons for such searches, the identities of the officials who conducted the searches, and the results.

15. In response to the risk of sexual violence women detainees face during body searches, the Bangkok Rules specify that body searches of women may only be conducted by women staff members who have undergone the requisite training, among other measures to protect the detainee’s dignity. Further, the Bangkok Rules require the development of “alternative screening methods, such as scans” to replace strip searches and invasive body searches, to avoid possible harmful psychological and physical impacts of invasive body searches.

16. International standards recommend that body searches are conducted by persons of the same sex as detainees. However, there is growing recognition of the need to take into account detainees’ wishes in this regard, as some detainees, including LGBTI+ persons and male victims of sexual violence, may have specific needs or face specific risks of trauma.
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Detainees should be kept in accommodation that takes into consideration their specific needs, risks, and circumstances, including based on sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or expression, and sex characteristics.

1. In all cases, places of detention must meet health standards and ensure human dignity. Ensuring appropriate accommodation and systemically reviewing arrangements for custody and treatment are part of the obligation to prevent torture and other ill-treatment.

2. International law requires authorities to separate persons deprived of their liberty based on sex, age, and reasons for their detention. However, to prevent CRSV and provide for the safest conditions of detention, the decision on where to place detainees should consider a range of specific characteristics of each detainee. Because these characteristics necessarily interact differently in different circumstances, individuals in detention should ultimately be held in accommodation that takes account of their specific needs, risks, and circumstances.

Accommodation based on sex, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or expression, and sex characteristics

3. Traditionally, standards on separation of detainees within IHL and IHRL have largely focused on an individual’s sex assigned at birth. For example, the Third and Fourth Geneva Conventions provide that women shall be held in separate accommodation from men and cared for by female guards, largely based on the assumption that sex segregation will protect women from male aggression. The Additional Protocols...
to the Geneva Conventions present similar requirements,\textsuperscript{110} and the Mandela Rules require that “men and women shall so far as possible be detained in separate institutions; in an institution which receives both men and women, the whole of the premises allocated to women shall be entirely separate.”\textsuperscript{111}

4. However, the spectrum of sexual orientations and gender identities requires that detaining authorities go beyond binary sex distinctions, which may in fact place individuals at a higher risk of sexual violence in detention settings.\textsuperscript{112} For example, transgender and intersex individuals face stigma and marginalisation that can render them particularly vulnerable if placed in accommodation based on their sex assigned at birth. Accommodation should ultimately be based on an assessment of individual well-being and risk.\textsuperscript{113} The UN Special Rapporteur on extrajudicial, summary or arbitrary executions has recommended that “judicial and prison authorities, when deciding allocation of [a] transgender person to either a male or female prison, do so in consultation with the prisoner concerned and on a case-by-case basis” and noted that “safety considerations and the wishes of the individual must be paramount.”\textsuperscript{114}

5. Under the Yogyakarta Principles on the Application of International Human Rights Law in Relation to Sexual Orientation and Gender Identity (Yogyakarta Principles), detaining authorities should ensure that “placement in detention avoids further marginalising persons on the basis of sexual orientation or gender identity or subjecting them to risk of violence, ill-treatment or physical, mental or sexual abuse.”\textsuperscript{115} To that end, detainees should be included in decisions regarding the facilities in which they are placed.\textsuperscript{116} Detaining authorities should not rely on solitary confinement as a means of protection\textsuperscript{117} and protective segregation should be done only with the detainee’s consent and when there are no other alternatives.\textsuperscript{118}

**Accommodation of children**

6. When, in exceptional circumstances (see Principle 1), children are detained, they must be held separately from adults.\textsuperscript{119} Keeping children separate from adults is understood to lower the risk of violence against children, including the risk of sexual violence.\textsuperscript{120} However, there are exceptions to this general rule: when a parent is being detained, a child may stay with that parent if to do so would be in the best interests of the child, for example.\textsuperscript{121} Children should also be held in accordance with age, and age groups should be separated in order to reduce risk of CRSV.\textsuperscript{122}
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PRINCIPLE 5:
TRAINING OF DETENTION PERSONNEL

Detention personnel should be trained to prevent and appropriately respond to CRSV

1. Preventing and responding to CRSV means that detention personnel – including civil and military law enforcement personnel, medical personnel, public officials, and any other persons involved in custody, interrogation, or treatment of detainees – should be appropriately trained. Under CAT, personnel training must include education as well as information on the prohibition against torture and other ill-treatment. Personnel should not assume their duties before undergoing training on preventing and responding to CRSV, and training must be provided and required on an ongoing basis. Under IHL, states and parties to armed conflict are required to provide instruction in IHL, including in the prohibition of sexual violence, to armed forces.

2. Under the Mandela Rules, the Bangkok Rules, and the Yogyakarta Principles, topics that the training of detention personnel should cover include:
   • All relevant national legislation, regulations, policies and international and regional instruments, including on complaint and other reporting mechanisms;
   • The rights of detainees and duties of personnel including, but not limited to, respecting the human dignity of prisoners and the prohibition on torture and other ill-treatment;
   • Security and safety, inclusive of the concept of dynamic security and responding to the medical and psychosocial needs of detainees. Examples of such skills include basic first aid, as well as social care and assistance;
   • Cross-cutting vulnerabilities related to the age, sexual orientation, gender identity and/or expression, and sex characteristics of those in detention, including addressing social attitudes, gender norms, and stereotypes;
   • Recognising and addressing the gender-specific and specialised health needs of detainees; and
   • HIV prevention, treatment, care and support, including addressing stigma and discrimination.
3. A comprehensive and effective training package that includes these topics can help to protect detainees from many forms of abuse, including CRSV. However, general trainings should be supplemented by evidence-based trainings specifically on preventing and responding to sexual violence.

4. While anyone involved in the custody, interrogation, or treatment of detainees should receive some level of training to prevent and respond to CRSV, training of personnel who regularly carry out detention-related duties should be prioritised.
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PRINCIPLE 6: MEDICAL RESPONSE TO CRSV IN DETENTION SETTINGS

Detaining and other relevant authorities should ensure timely, effective, and adequate medical response, inclusive of physical, mental, and psychosocial care and services, to all survivors of CRSV in detention settings.

1. IHL rules require parties to an armed conflict to provide sexual violence victims/survivors in detention with appropriate medical care and attention. IHRL likewise protects, in all circumstances, the right to health, which is enshrined in a number of treaties, including the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR). Authoritative human rights and humanitarian guidance, including the Mandela Rules, the Bangkok Rules, and WHO Guidelines, outlines how to operationalise the right to health in the context of detention settings. Applying these rules and standards helps ensure that all detainees who have suffered CRSV are afforded adequate medical care, inclusive of physical, mental, and psychosocial care and services.

Law and standards related to medical response

2. In a non-international armed conflict, IHL rules require that wounded or sick detained individuals are afforded the relevant medical care and attention that their condition requires with the least possible delay and to the fullest extent practicable. All detainees must also be given access to medical examinations within the capabilities of the detaining authority.

3. In an international armed conflict, detaining authorities have more extensive obligations, including the requirement to undertake medical inspections at least once a month. Every place of detention must also have medical facilities, under the operation of a qualified doctor, that are sufficient to provide for the necessities of detainees, and detainees must have access to medical examinations.
4. IHRL reinforces and supplements these conflict-specific requirements. Detainees have the right to be examined by a doctor as promptly as possible and, when necessary, to receive health care and treatment free of charge. UN treaty bodies and the Human Rights Council have repeatedly stressed the importance of prompt and regular medical care for detainees to prevent and address torture and other ill-treatment.

5. Specifically in the context of sexual violence, the Committee on Economic, Social, and Cultural Rights (the treaty body of independent experts that monitors implementation of the ICESCR) has determined that states “should aim to ensure universal access without discrimination for all individuals, including those from disadvantaged and marginalized groups, to a full range of quality sexual and reproductive health care” and must provide both physical and mental health care for survivors, including voluntary testing for sexually transmitted infections (HIV, hepatitis, and others) and the provision of post-exposure prophylaxis.

6. The Mandela Rules state that detention sites must be equipped with medical care facilities that are sufficiently staffed and able to tend promptly and adequately to detainees’ physical and mental health care needs, such as the particular needs of survivors of sexual violence. Rape and other forms of CRSV may require specialised responses and the Mandela Rules also require that detainees in need of specialised treatment be transferred to an appropriate institution or civil hospital.

7. Medical services should be provided by a qualified, interdisciplinary team that includes expertise in psychology and psychiatry. Clinical independence is paramount. As such, the ability to make clinical decisions should be confined to relevant healthcare professionals, who should not be overruled or ignored by non-medical detention personnel.

8. A qualified healthcare professional should, as soon as possible upon the admission of a detainee, see, speak with, and examine the detainee and continue to do so thereafter as necessary, in order to determine whether sexual abuse has occurred and provide treatment where necessary.

9. Healthcare professionals remain bound by the same ethical and professional standards to which they must adhere in relation to the general community, such as obtaining informed consent prior to carrying out a medical procedure. They should treat all detainees with humanity and respect for their dignity and human rights, without discrimination. In keeping with the paramount importance of clinical independence, medical professionals, when identifying and responding to CRSV, should act in the interests of the detainee regardless of any pressure from the detaining authority.
10. The Mandela Rules state that if, during the provision of services, healthcare professionals identify signs of torture, including CRSV, they must document and report such cases to the competent medical, administrative, or judicial authority, while ensuring that reporting does not put the detainee at greater risk.\textsuperscript{153}

11. In fulfilling their obligations to ensure the right to health, states should facilitate detainees’ access to humanitarian actors who provide health care and support to victims of CRSV, including the ICRC.\textsuperscript{154} Similarly, NSAGs should encourage and facilitate access to health providers.\textsuperscript{155}

**Addressing gender-specific concerns**

12. States should ensure gender-specific medical responses, such as giving specific attention to women or girls who were subjected to sexual violence in detention.\textsuperscript{156} The Bangkok Rules provide a number of measures on the health treatment of women detainees.\textsuperscript{157} In particular, they require that “[w]omen prisoners who have been subjected to sexual abuse, and especially those who have become pregnant as a result, shall receive appropriate medical advice and counselling and shall be provided with the requisite physical and mental health care, support and legal aid.”\textsuperscript{158}

13. Although social stigma is an obstacle to reporting common to all victims of sexual violence, deeply held assumptions about male invulnerability, especially in relation to sexual violence, exacerbate the problem for men and boys. Fear of being perceived as gay often accompanies these assumptions. For such reasons, male victims may be hesitant to report or seek treatment after experiencing sexual violence. Such assumptions can also affect the institutional response to CRSV. If healthcare professionals and other workers within detention settings lack understanding about male-directed sexual violence, they are unlikely to pick up on subtle cues that might alert them to the abuse.\textsuperscript{159}

14. The Yogyakarta Principles indicate that states should “provide adequate access to medical care and counselling appropriate to the needs of those in custody, recognising any particular needs of persons on the basis of their sexual orientation or gender identity, including with regard to reproductive health, access to HIV/AIDS information and therapy.”\textsuperscript{160}
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PRINCIPLE 7: INDEPENDENT MONITORING

Relevant independent monitoring bodies should have confidential and unhindered access to all detention settings to monitor treatment of detainees and document cases of CRSV and other abuses

1. Effective and independent monitoring regimes are essential to preventing CRSV and ensuring that survivors in detention settings are properly cared for and protected. By proactively reporting on the shortfalls of prevention mechanisms and documenting cases of CRSV, independent monitoring ensures that international obligations to prohibit and prevent CRSV in detention settings are realised. Moreover, monitoring and subsequent reporting on CRSV can increase awareness of the issue, which in turn contributes to wider prevention efforts.

2. Monitoring bodies can take different forms, including arms-length independent governmental organisations, human rights commissions, ombudspersons, NGOs, and international bodies, such as the Subcommittee on Prevention of Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment (Subcommittee on Prevention of Torture) and the European Committee for the Prevention of Torture and Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment, as well as UN human rights bodies that undertake monitoring activities (for example, in the context of UN peacekeeping missions). Which domestic or international independent monitoring bodies should have access to a particular place of detention will depend on the country context and the mandates of the various bodies.

3. Independent monitoring within detention settings forms part of a broader accountability framework to ensure that parties to an armed conflict fulfil their obligations under international law. This framework includes complaint mechanisms (Principle 8), training of detention personnel (Principle 5), and coverage of detention conditions by media and independent monitoring organisations.
4. In recognition of the role monitoring plays in ensuring adherence to international law, the UN Security Council has articulated that all parties to armed conflict must provide “unimpeded access for monitoring” in areas under their control.\textsuperscript{163}

**ICRC detention monitoring**

5. Based on its mandate under the Geneva Conventions, the ICRC has a right to visit detainees in international armed conflict, and a right to offer its services to do the same in non-international armed conflict. Under IHL, parties to international armed conflicts must grant the ICRC regular and confidential access to detainees (regardless of whether they are considered civilians or prisoners of war) to verify their conditions of detention, subject to limited exceptions.\textsuperscript{164} During these visits, the ICRC and its delegates should be allowed full liberty to select the places they wish to visit.\textsuperscript{165}

6. In non-international armed conflicts, the ICRC may “offer its services” to all parties to the conflict with a view to visiting all detainees in order to verify the conditions of detention and to restore contact between these individuals and their families.\textsuperscript{166} In addition, because the purpose of ICRC visits is to safeguard existing rules of IHL (including the prohibition of sexual violence), any ICRC offer to visit detainees must be “examined in good faith and may not be refused arbitrarily.”\textsuperscript{167} When parties have not allowed the ICRC to access detainees, the UN Security Council has condemned the action “in the strongest possible terms” and demanded the ICRC be given immediate and unimpeded access to detainees.\textsuperscript{168}

7. The requirement to examine ICRC visitation offers in good faith applies equally to NSAGs. Some NSAGs have voluntarily committed to allow the monitoring of detention conditions.\textsuperscript{169}

**Domestic monitoring**

8. States must have domestic monitoring regimes in place. In order to prevent torture and other ill-treatment, including CRSV, the ICCPR and the CAT require states to establish systems for regular and independent monitoring of all places of detention.\textsuperscript{170} The Optional Protocol to CAT (OPCAT) requires states to establish national preventive mechanisms for the prevention of torture.\textsuperscript{171} International standards such as the Body of Principles and the Mandela Rules reinforce the obligation for impartial external investigations in detention contexts,\textsuperscript{172} and apply regardless of whether a state has joined OPCAT.\textsuperscript{173}

9. Under these standards, external inspection teams should be composed of “qualified and experienced inspectors appointed by a competent authority,” with due regard to balanced gender representation, and include healthcare...
Detaining authorities should provide information on the number of detainees and the places of their detention, as well as all relevant information on detainee treatment (including detainee records and the condition of their detention). Further, without prearrangement, inspectors may conduct private and confidential interviews with detainees and staff of their choice.

10. A written report should be submitted to the competent authority following the inspection, and, if possible, should be made public after ensuring that identifying information has been removed. As part of a “constructive dialogue with authorities” on how to improve the treatment of detainees and conditions in detention, where appropriate the competent authority should then respond to the findings of the report within a reasonable time. In keeping with the principle that investigators may inspect freely, follow-up visits to the facility should be permitted as needed.

The OPCAT regime

Intending to “establish a system of regular visits undertaken by independent international and national bodies,” the Optional Protocol to the Convention against Torture (OPCAT) establishes additional monitoring obligations for states parties. The protocol creates a two-tiered monitoring regime, in which the Subcommittee on the Prevention of Torture (OPCAT’s treaty body) visits places of detention and provides international oversight and advice to states parties, paired with an obligation to create domestic-level independent monitoring bodies, or “national preventative mechanisms” (NPMs).

Although OPCAT gives states some discretion on the design of NPMs, it does impose requirements that must be in place for a body to be recognised as an NPM. States parties must take steps to ensure the functional independence of the mechanism, as well as the independence of its personnel. In addition, the NPM must be staffed by persons with relevant professional expertise, and due regard must be paid to gender balance and the representation of minorities. Further guidance on NPMs has been adopted by the Subcommittee on the Prevention of Torture.

States must allow visits from both the Subcommittee and NPMs. Although increased secrecy and suspicion of outside actors during conflict place extensive pressure on the OPCAT regime, states must ensure independent monitors are given safe access to all facilities, including secret detention facilities.
11. UN bodies have established numerous mechanisms to monitor compliance with international human rights obligations. For example, the Subcommittee on Prevention of Torture is mandated to visit places of detention and advise on the implementation of OPCAT (discussed above) and the UN Human Rights Council has established several thematic and country mandates under its special procedures aimed at examining specific international human rights issues that encompass detention and CRSV. Detaining authorities should cooperate with these mechanisms in an effort to prevent CRSV in detention settings.

12. One such special procedure, the Special Rapporteur on torture, is responsible for seeking out, examining, and reporting on issues and alleged cases concerning torture and other ill-treatment, including CRSV. To this end, the Human Rights Council urges states to fully cooperate with and assist the Special Rapporteur, respond favourably to the Special Rapporteur’s country visit requests, and ensure that no one, including those in detention, faces prejudice for seeking to contact or contacting international or national monitoring bodies. The Special Rapporteur on violence against women, its causes and consequences, and the Independent Expert on SOGI inhabit similar roles. Likewise, the Special Representative of the Secretary General on Sexual Violence in Conflict is mandated to provide strategic leadership and carry out advocacy to address CRSV.

13. UN Security Council infrastructure related to CRSV includes the Monitoring, Analysis and Reporting Arrangements (MARA) on CRSV and the Monitoring and Reporting Mechanism (MRM) on Grave Violations against Children. These monitoring arrangements facilitate data gathering and analysis of incidents, patterns, and trends of CRSV to inform early warning systems, programmatic responses, and advocacy to the UN Security Council, including in relation to sanctions. In 2019, the Security Council specifically requested through Resolution 2467 that “the monitoring, analysis and reporting arrangements on conflict-related sexual violence focus more consistently on the gender specific nature of sexual violence in conflict and post-conflict situations against all affected populations in all situations of concern, including men and boys.”

14. While these mechanisms are not exclusively aimed at investigating or monitoring detention facilities, their focus includes CRSV occurring in detention facilities. Since 2019, these monitoring arrangements have sought to improve the consistency of monitoring and reporting of CRSV against men and boys particularly in the context of formal and informal detention. They have also highlighted the need for greater monitoring and reporting of sexual violence perpetrated against LGBTI+ individuals.
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PRINCIPLE 8: COMPLAINT MECHANISMS

Survivors of CRSV in detention settings, their families, and their representatives should have safe access to complaint mechanisms that allow them to report sexual violence

1. Availability of and access to effective complaint mechanisms support the right to remedy for CRSV and the duties to investigate (Principle 9) and to ensure access for independent monitoring mechanisms (Principle 7). Detainees, their families, and their representatives should have safe access to complaint mechanisms, as well as access to relevant information concerning other reporting, monitoring, and investigative mechanisms.

Standards on complaint mechanisms

2. International standards, such as the Body of Principles and the Mandela Rules, contain minimum standards related to complaint mechanisms. In the context of prisons, these include: the right to make complaints to the prison director or the prison staff member; the right to make complaints to the inspector of prisons “freely and in full confidentiality, without the director or other members of the staff being present”; and the right to make a “complaint regarding his or her treatment, without censorship as to substance, to the central prison administration and to the judicial or other competent authorities, including those vested with reviewing or remedial power.” The principle of access to multiple avenues of complaint likewise should apply in other detention settings. Detainees also have the right to information about complaint mechanisms and applicable rules.

3. The UN Special Rapporteur on torture has recommended that complaint mechanisms be simple and accessible and has stated that “[t]he threshold for a complaint must be as low as possible, in particular in the context of detention.” Complaints should be recorded and detainees should be informed of the outcome. Further, if a request or complaint is rejected, or if there is an undue delay, the
complainant should be able to bring the complaint before a judicial or other authority.\textsuperscript{201}

4. According to the Body of Principles and the Mandela Rules, detaining authorities should take effective measures to protect complainants against any risk of retaliation, intimidation, or other negative consequences (such as solitary confinement) as a result of having submitted a request or complaint.\textsuperscript{202}

5. For civilians who have been interned during an international armed conflict, IHL rules contain “the right to present to the authorities in whose power they are, any petition with regard to the conditions of internment to which they are subjected.”\textsuperscript{203} Prisoners of war also have a similar right, which includes the right to make their complaints regarding detention conditions known to specified outside authorities.\textsuperscript{204}

**Addressing barriers to reporting CRSV**

6. There are a range of common barriers to reporting and disclosures that victims of sexual violence in detention settings may face. These include: absence of independent or effective complaint mechanisms/lack of information about, or trust in, available mechanisms; fear of retaliation or reprisals; and stigma and feelings of shame or embarrassment.\textsuperscript{205}

7. In order to address these obstacles, guidance and best practice documents offer a range of recommendations including:\textsuperscript{206}

- Providing various confidential means of conveying reports, including by written reports, telephone hotlines, or in person;
- Allowing detainees to choose the person to whom they would feel most comfortable disclosing their complaint;
- Providing training to detention personnel, including medical personnel, on how to receive complaints and make reports when they become aware of signs of CRSV;
- Respecting the confidentiality of the person reporting the violation, including by allowing anonymous complaints to be made;
- Accepting reports from witnesses and third parties, such as family members, lawyers, and monitoring bodies; and
- Providing immediate protection and supervision for the victim from the moment they report abuse, as well as specialised support and assistance.
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CRSV in detention settings must be effectively investigated and, when appropriate, prosecuted

1. The consistent and rigorous investigation and prosecution of CRSV is central to responding to, as well as preventing, violations. CRSV may amount to war crimes, crimes against humanity, or other crimes under international law: states in whose jurisdiction the alleged crime occurred bear primary responsibility to bring perpetrators to justice by “investigat[ing] violations effectively, promptly, thoroughly and impartially and, where appropriate, tak[ing] action against those allegedly responsible in accordance with domestic and international law.” In other cases, when particular instances of CRSV do not amount to international crimes, international law may nonetheless require states to undertake domestic investigation and, when appropriate, prosecution. Investigation and prosecution are linked to monitoring (Principle 7) and complaint regimes (Principle 8), as allegations may come to light through these mechanisms.

2. Best practices for investigations include specialised training of investigators and proper collection, preservation, and storage of evidence. Whenever a state finds sufficient evidence of CRSV, it has the duty to prosecute the person(s) allegedly responsible. Prosecutions that occur within post-conflict transitional justice efforts should, where applicable, include charges for sexual violence.

3. Although international law is generally silent on the extent to which NSAGs should investigate CRSV, NSAGs are expected to cooperate with independent investigations and prosecutions of CRSV and should adopt measures to support investigations and prosecutions.
The duty to investigate

4. States have a general obligation to combat impunity for violations of IHRL or IHL.\textsuperscript{211} This obligation includes the duty to “undertake prompt, thorough and impartial investigation of violations of international human rights and international humanitarian law,”\textsuperscript{212} including CRSV.\textsuperscript{213} The duty to investigate may also be derived from the requirement that states protect, respect, and fulfil human rights and respect and ensure respect for IHL.\textsuperscript{214} The scope of this obligation includes the requirement to investigate gross violations of IHRL and serious violations of IHL “effectively, promptly, thoroughly and impartially” and to exercise due diligence to prevent violations by non-state actors.\textsuperscript{215}

5. The duty to investigate applies regardless of the identity of the victim(s) or perpetrator(s).\textsuperscript{216} Allegations of CRSV that has been perpetrated against men, boys, or LGBTI+ individuals must therefore be investigated without discrimination related to victims’ sex, gender identity, or sexual orientation. The investigation and prosecution of CRSV against men, boys, and LGBTI+ individuals in detention settings presents unique challenges due to stigma, shame, and cultural attitudes about gender and sexuality, which can inhibit victims’ ability to speak about their experiences and prevent investigators from detecting or prioritising evidence of CRSV. In order to meet their obligation to effectively investigate and prosecute CRSV against men, boys, and LGBTI+ individuals, states must therefore address the additional barriers to justice these groups face. Official state policy should explicitly promote the investigation of CRSV against men, boys, and LGBTI+ persons. Otherwise this issue may be missed, overlooked, or ignored.\textsuperscript{217}

International guidelines for investigating and documenting CRSV

The UK Foreign and Commonwealth Office’s International Protocol on the Documentation and Investigation of Sexual Violence in Conflict\textsuperscript{218} (the International Protocol) provides specific guidance on best practices for investigations of CRSV. The International Protocol sets out basic principles for documenting and investigating CRSV, highlighting the need for investigators to be informed about the impacts of sexual violence and the specific techniques that should be used when interviewing witnesses and gathering information. Further, the Institute for International Criminal Investigations (IICI)’s Guidelines for Investigating Conflict-Related Sexual and Gender-Based Violence Against Men and Boys (the IICI Guidelines) assist criminal justice and human rights investigators, reporters, and monitors to document and investigate CRSV against men and boys in particular.\textsuperscript{219}
The Guidelines on Investigating Violations of International Humanitarian Law (issued by the ICRC and the Geneva Academy of International Humanitarian Law and Human Rights) provide a general framework for investigations and outline the different types of investigations in armed conflict (criminal and administrative). The Guidelines highlight how to enable effective investigative practices that can assist in the detection of potential violations and support various forms of accountability for IHL violations, among other outcomes. The Guidelines are focused on investigations carried out by states into possible violations of IHL, but “may also prove useful for actors other than states” and are a helpful reference point for the investigation of other crimes under international law.²²⁰

There are a number of measures that authorities should take to facilitate the investigation of CRSV and the preservation of evidence, including in detention settings:

- Steps should be taken at the earliest possible time to document military operations.
- All cases of capture and detention should be recorded.
- Relevant evidence of possible violations should be preserved.
- Strong reporting requirements and an effective and accessible process for handling reports of potential violations, including reports by external parties, should be established.
- If there are reasonable grounds to believe that sexual violence has been committed, a criminal investigation must be opened promptly and carried out “without unreasonable delay.”
- An independent and impartial investigative authority must be available to carry out investigations into CRSV. The authority should be independent of the detaining authorities (and outside of the chain of command).
- Investigators must take “all feasible steps” to collect, analyse, preserve and store evidence. Investigations should be transparent and thorough.
- Investigators who are gathering information about allegations of CRSV should seek to document the varying forms of harm caused to victims/survivors and their families. Forms of harm to document should include physical harm, mental harm, social harm, and economic harm.
- If the circumstances suggest that underlying causes are likely to have led to the CRSV or could lead to future abuses, as is often the case when CRSV occurs in detention settings, then an independent investigation into systemic issues should be carried out, alongside a criminal investigation of individual abuses.
- Investigators need to have expertise on specific vulnerabilities related to CRSV. Special expertise is particularly important for the investigation of CRSV against men, boys, and LGBTI+ persons, as trauma, shame, and attitudes about gender and sexuality are likely to complicate the
investigative process, and poorly conducted interviews carry the risk of triggering re-traumatisation.

- Investigators of CRSV against men, boys, and LGBTI+ persons in detention settings should be trained in accordance with international best practices, including those noted in the International Protocol and the IICI Guidelines.

These and other measures are contained in:


### The duty to prosecute

6. Linked to the duty to investigate is the duty to prosecute CRSV in detention settings. The UN Security Council has affirmed that states have a responsibility to end impunity and to bring perpetrators of CRSV to justice through “consistent and rigorous” prosecution.\(^{221}\) To this end, the Security Council encourages national authorities to “strengthen legislation to foster accountability for sexual violence,”\(^{222}\) including through enacting victim and witness protection laws, providing legal aid to survivors, and, where appropriate, establishing specialised police units and courts to address such crimes.\(^{223}\)

7. In the context of CRSV against men and boys, the Security Council specifically urges states to strengthen “policies that offer appropriate responses to male survivors and challenge cultural assumptions about male invulnerability to such violence.”\(^{224}\) Such policies should aim to combat the stigma that might otherwise present a barrier to reporting, and should explicitly contemplate CRSV against men, boys, and LGBTI+ persons as a crime requiring specific prosecutorial attention.

8. In terms of IHRL, states have an obligation to prosecute CRSV in detention settings under the ICCPR,\(^{225}\) CAT,\(^{226}\) CRC,\(^{227}\) and CRPD.\(^{228}\) Provisions providing a right to an “effective remedy” before a national authority, which in certain instances includes
the right to recourse to a judicial authority, may also be found in regional human rights instruments, including the American Convention on Human Rights and the European Convention on Human Rights.

9. Under international law, there should be no statute of limitations for genocide, crimes against humanity, or war crimes; in armed conflict, rape and other forms of sexual violence often fall into one of these categories of crime. There should also be no immunities for rape and other acts of sexual violence or defences of superior order which could preclude their prosecution.

10. Under IHL, the Geneva Conventions require states to provide “effective penal sanctions” for certain “grave breaches” of IHL, which include torture and inhuman treatment. States are obliged to “search for persons alleged to have committed” torture or inhuman treatment, including CRSV, and to “bring such persons, regardless of their nationality, before [their] own courts” or to hand such persons over for trial to another state that is concerned with the case. Like the duty to investigate, the duty to prosecute applies regardless of the identity of the victim(s). More generally, under IHL in both international and non-international armed conflicts, “[s]tates must investigate war crimes allegedly committed by their nationals or armed forces, or on their territory, and, if appropriate, prosecute the suspects. They must also investigate other war crimes over which they have jurisdiction, and, if appropriate, prosecute the suspects.”

11. Though the ICC and certain other international tribunals may exercise jurisdiction where an international crime has been committed and a state’s legal system is “unwilling or unable genuinely to carry out . . . investigation or prosecution,” states have the primary responsibility and right to prosecute rape and other crimes of sexual violence in conflict. If a state is unable or unwilling to conduct effective investigations and prosecutions, it should cooperate with international tribunals. States should also incorporate within their domestic law provisions for universal jurisdiction and/or facilitate extradition or surrender of offenders to other states and to appropriate international judicial bodies.

12. In a post-conflict setting, prosecution is only one aspect of a complete response to sexual violence that took place during the armed conflict. Prosecutions should be accompanied by other transitional justice measures such as reparation, investigations by truth commissions, other forms of social repair, and institutional reform.
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PRINCIPLE 10: REMEDY AND REPARATION

Survivors of CRSV in detention settings are entitled to adequate, effective, and timely remedy and reparation

1. Victims of serious violations of IHRL or IHL have a right to adequate, effective, and timely remedy, including reparation. Reparation should aim to repair the harm caused to the injured party, including through rehabilitation when required. With respect to CRSV, reparation programmes should be comprehensive and transformative in nature, and may include collective reparations in addition to individual reparations. Independent monitoring (Principle 7), complaint mechanisms (Principle 8), and investigation and prosecution (Principle 9) may reveal specific instances as well as patterns of CRSV in detention settings that require reparation.

Remedy and reparation in international law

2. The right to an effective remedy for violations of IHRL and IHL is enshrined in numerous sources of international law. International human rights treaties that provide for a right to a remedy for victims of violations of IHRL include the ICCPR, CAT, and CRC. Such provisions also appear in regional human rights conventions. Under IHRL, the right to a remedy can encompass a range of measures, including reparation, judicial proceedings, and measures intended to protect the right to truth. IHL, meanwhile, contains norms imposing obligations on states to make reparation, while there are some examples suggesting that NSAGs also have duties to make reparation.

3. The Basic Principles and Guidelines on the Right to a Remedy and Reparation for Victims of Gross Violations of International Human Rights Law and Serious Violations of International Humanitarian Law (the Basic Principles and Guidelines) provide that states should make available “adequate, effective, prompt and appropriate remedies” for victims of violations. According to the Basic Principles and Guidelines, states should develop means to inform the general public, and in particular, victims of serious violations of IHRL and IHL, of available rights and remedies.
4. Remedies for serious violations of IHRL and IHL include the victim’s right to “adequate, effective, and prompt reparation for harm suffered.” Reparation can serve as a shorthand way of describing a holistic framework of redress that includes a range of measures, from individual compensation to institutional reforms.

5. In accordance with the Basic Principles and Guidelines, a state should provide reparation for acts or omissions that can be attributed to it and that constitute gross violations of IHRL or serious violations of IHL. The Basic Principles and Guidelines also contemplate the responsibility of non-state actors to provide reparation for violations or to compensate a state if a state has already provided reparation to the victim. In the event that non-state parties are liable for harm to a victim but are unable or unwilling to meet their obligations to provide reparations, states should endeavour to establish national programmes to provide reparation and other assistance.

Key considerations for reparation of CRSV

6. The UN Secretary-General’s Guidance Note on Reparations for Conflict-Related Sexual Violence states that reparations should strive to be “transformative” in design, implementation, and impact and “address pre-existing structural subordination and discrimination for both men and women.”

7. Reparations frameworks addressing CRSV should be “comprehensive,” with programmes including “all or some variation of individual, collective, symbolic, and material reparations as well as priority access to services.” A comprehensive framework should include both judicial and administrative programmes. Administrative programmes are out-of-court processes used by states to identify violations and victims and provide victims with reparation through a procedure established by the state. They are particularly useful for addressing CRSV when it takes place at a large scale.

8. The concept of comprehensive reparation includes restitution, compensation, rehabilitation, satisfaction, and guarantees of non-repetition. While each of these measures are relevant, rehabilitation is a particularly important form of reparation for survivors of CRSV, particularly as rape and other serious forms of CRSV, including when it amounts to torture, may require specialised medical, mental, and psycho-social responses (see Principle 6).

9. Collective reparations, such as those that honour victims of CRSV without requiring naming of victims and individual violations suffered, may be helpful in combatting stigma and encouraging victims to speak about their experiences, although they are not a substitute for individual reparations. Consultation with victims is vital in designing and implementing any effective reparations scheme, and is particularly important when crafting collective reparations for victims of CRSV.
10. Because comprehensive reparations programmes can take time to design and implement, interim reparations should be made available to respond to the most urgent and immediate harm affecting victims/survivors of CRSV. This response should include providing urgent medical treatment and livelihood support, and should be appropriate to the gender- and age-specific needs of survivors.263
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APPENDIX:
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• All Survivors Project (ASP), *Checklist on preventing and addressing conflict-related sexual violence against men and boys*, December 2019, https://perma.cc/5P4Y-R8XM.


• *Deed of Commitment Under Geneva Call for the Prohibition of Sexual Violence in Situations of Armed Conflict and Towards the Elimination of Gender Discrimination*, 2012, [https://perma.cc/5MLW-U7D5](https://perma.cc/5MLW-U7D5).
As recognised by the UN Security Council in Resolution 2467 (April 2019), detention settings are a key context of vulnerability to conflict-related sexual violence (CRSV), particularly for men and boys. The Principles on the Prevention of Conflict-Related Sexual Violence in Detention Settings draw from existing international law – primarily international human rights law and international humanitarian law – as well as authoritative guidance to bring together in a single instrument ten key international principles to prevent and respond to CRSV, applicable to all persons deprived of their liberty in armed conflict. Each principle is accompanied by commentary on its sources and content.
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