<u>HRP</u>

Transformation of the South African System of Justice

The Honorable Abdullah Omar

South African Minister of Justice

The Edward A. Smith Visiting Lecturer
Human Rights Program
Harvard Law School

Prec

Harvard Law School and its Human Rights Program have benefit rom a generous gift to the School by Edward A. Smith of the Cla The gift has made it possible to bring to Harvard for several d lecturers whose commitments and experience speak to such issues social responsibility and the moral dilemmas facing the legal p

Each of the Edward A. Smith Visiting Lecturers invited by the Rights Program has amply met the lectureship's criteria. All has emgaged in prominent work related to the human rights movement itsefforts to develop and protect international human rights. A people of deep commitment and moral vision. All have "made a di ence." The past lecturers were Neelan Truchelvam from Sri Lanka Ntsebesa from South Africa, Tania Petovar from Yugcslavia, Asma rom Pakistan, Ian Martin from the United Kingdom, Gay McDougall the United States, Louis Sohn from the United States, and Radhi Coomaraswamy from Sri Lanka. The Program remembers Mr. Smith wi deep thanks for making possible this fruitful series of talks.

The Program's most recent EdwardA. Smith Visiting Lecturer we Hon. Abdullah Omar, Minister of Justice of South Africa. This prograws out of the lecture that he delivered at Harvard Law School 9, 1997. Through his work as lawyer and advocate, Abdullah Omar for many years among the leaders of the anti-apartheid movement present awesome task, the dimensions of which are sketched in the lecture, amounts to nothing less than the transformation of a law committing a gross violation of human rights to one based on so and the rule of law. It was a great privilege for the Human Rights to welcome Minister Omar to Harvard to give this illuminating 1.

⁻ HyJ3 Steiner Jremiah Smith, Jr. Professo: of Law Director-, Human Rights Program

The Harvard Law School Human Rights Program, founded in 1984, fosters caursework; the participatic of students in human right through practical involvement as well as scholarly research and and assistance to the worldwide human rights community. The Proforges cooperative links with a range of human rights workers, and organizations from all parts of the world through its stude internships, visiting fellows (scholars and activists), speaker research and clinical work. HRP also plans and directs roundtab conferences on human rights issues and publishes the resulting and analyses. These publications, together with a brochure describer activities, its newsletter and other related documents, a at the HRP web site (indicated below) or upon request.

Diretor: Henry J. Steiner
Projects Diretor: Peter Rosenblum
Program Administrator: Susan Culhane
Program Assistant: Anje Van Berckelaer

Human Rights Program
Pound Hall 401
Harvard Law School
Cambridge, MA 02138, USA

Te: (617) 495-9362 Fax: (617) 495-1110

E-mail : HRP@law.harvard.edu

Web Sits: http://www.law.harvard.edu/Pregrams/HRP

© 1998 by the President and Fellows of Harvard College
All rights reserved
Printed in the United States of America
ISBN 1-879875-08-X

Tianferratica cf the Scjth African System cf Justice

Ladies and gentlemen, friends, and comrades,

It is a particular privilege for me to be here today is deliver the Edward A. Smith Lecture. In fact, my visit to Law School is rather belated. Nearly two decades ago, I was accepted as a student in the LL.M. program. For well-know political reasons, I was denied permission to leave my coand hence was unable to attend. I apologize for the delay

I would like to take the opportunity of this talk to those of you who contributed in different ways to the libestruggle of South Africa. The struggle against apartheid joyed international solidarity as has no other struggle i world. A heavy responsibility now rests on the shoulders South Africans to ensure that democracy is real for all there is meaningful change to provide a better life, and human rights are enjoyed by the millions of our previousl disempowered men, women and children.

The transformation of South Africa and its justice system a huge and complex topic. Everyone speaks of the "South African miracle." In a way there has been one. We were a in violent conflict without any apparent way out. Yet, we succeeded through negotiated elections in climbing out of morass, due largely to the outstanding leadership of the National Congress (ANC) — in particular President Nelson Mandela and then ANC president, Oliver Tambo.

In the light of these achievements, I would like to im

some of the exuberance and enthusiasm that the previously excluded people of South Africa feel today .At the same to should not fool ourselves. Elections did not bring about tale ending to the story. For those who enjoyed privilege during the apartheid years, it was hoped that these elect would mark the end of the transformation process. But for excluded by the apartheid regime — denied the right to volumiliated and treated as non-citizens in the land of the — the process of transformation has just begun. Elections only a first step. I, myself, fall in the latter category to see the elections of April 1994 not as the end of transformation must take place in our country.

Overcoming the Legacy of Apartheid

Although apartheid has been banished by our constituti and removed from our statute book, its legacy lives on. Moreof our people still live in squatter camps. Millions remainstrately many unemployable because of the effect of the Bantu education system that reigned supreme for such a lottime. The process of emancipation has just begun.

The apartheid state inculcated a culture of violence a divisiveness that is also our legacy. The stark contrast the opulence in which some few people lived and the pover and degradation of millions produced bitterness and hatre This unrest was suppressed through violence inflicted by State against all who challenged its order. Brutalization dehumanization became the order of the day.

This legacy affects both Whites and Slacks, but in diff ways. The privileges of the apartheid state are deeply ended. Those who enjoyed them during the apartheid years continue to enjoy most of those privileges today. Despite commitment to build a non-racial society, South Africa rehighly race-conscious and race-divided.

One step in the process of overcoming the legacy of application heid is to make our institutions representative of the position. We inherited the institutions of the apartheid ordering the army, police and government bureaucracy. Despite efforts during more than two years, these institutions are representative. In any event, it is not enough simply to the personnel. The institutions of the state were designed serve apartheid. They imbibed its values. They developed implemented the attitudes of domination, superiority, and tempt for women and people of color. Indeed, not only race but also the domination of men over women were part of the cfficial culture.

Those attitudes do not disappear overnight. They requisive systematic programs to bring about a change of culture, a change of ethos and attitude within the institutions. Revenue the process of dehumanization and brutalization takes time. How much time depends on our new programs and the success with which we are able to implement them.

Cne of the biggest challenges facing the democratic goment has been to transform the administration of justice Scuth Africa. During the time of apartheid, the Department Justice was effectively used to implement it. Opponents we frequently brought before the courts and invariably convitand sentenced to long prison sentences or execution. Not

quently, the Department of Justice was responsible for enthat apartheid and repressive laws were drafted, enacted enforced. Courts were virtually segregated with one parting the so-called homelands, while the other served the find the served that find the so-called homelands, while the other served the find the kind and quality of services given, but also in the vinfrastructure to dispense justice. While courts and other tures administering justice in the RSA were in many respenser first-world standards, the homelands were left virtually their own and forced to operate with inadequate and often outdated resources and technology. The lack of representations of particularly in the senior echelons of the Department continues to cloud the legitimacy of the administration in

Unfortunately, even today we act under severe constrain While we seek to transform the State, the very forces unlike by apartheid threaten those efforts. One much discussed example is violent crime, and the widespread perception that rising. In fact, crime has been part of the apartheid state beginning. As our Truth and Reconciliation Commission is helping people to understand, agents of the State themsel fomented and participated in crime. There has been and course to be participation in crime among elements of the pothe past, people wholdid meritorious work for the aparther regime, even while committing abuses and killing people, received promotions. That has come to an end. Nevertheles legacy now undermines our transformative efforts. Fightin crime is thus high on our agenda.

The New Constitutional Order: Democrac Transparency and Participation

Before focusing on the transformatic of the justice sy I would like to review some of the broad changes that we implemented since the end of apartheid.

Our starting point was elections. In April 1994, South held its first non-racial, democratic election in the countistory. We now have a national parliament consisting of houses, a National Assembly and a Senate. In contrast to prior regime, the Parliament has developed a democratic a participatory culture. It is afarcryfrom the all-white part that existed before 1994. During apartheid, the parliament observed only superficial democracy: All committees were chaired by representatives of the majority party and clost the public. It is: ironic that we who fought for majority changed that tradition by opening committees to the public making their procedures transparent, and of fering other cal parties the opportunity to appoint committee chairs. dramatically changed the gender belance, ensuring that on third of all MPs of the ANC are women.

Another important change has been the devolution of porem the national to the provincial level. Legislatures have leacted in each of the nine provinces defined in the Interconstitution. In each of those legislatures, one-thirdef representatives are women and the open democratic culture established at the national level has been replicated.

The same is true at the local level where we had the f elections for local councils. These, in particular, have ered a whole new class of previously disenfranchised citi Men and women, some of whom cannot read or write, now serve on local councils, deciding matters relating to locaties and amenities. There is a lot to learn. Council members to address housing matters, water, electricity, sreets, land swimming pools — which of course are non-existent in Black areas.

In addition, we recently completed a widely participat process to develop the permanent constitution. For the pa and a half years, we have been operating under the Interi Constitution, the product of negotiation among political ties. 2 As a result of its negotiated nature, we argued th Interif Canstitutic did not enjoy the legitimacy of a ast tion developed and adopted by a democratically elected bo The Interim Constitution, established a Canstitutical Ass bly, composed of all members of Parliament sitting togeth and required the Assembly to draft a permanent Constituti within two years. It also laid down a series of binding q principles to which the new Constitution had to conform, charged the new Constitutional Court with the task of cer compliance with those principles. The result has been two characterized by intensive national debates as well as so initial reservations to certification by the Constitution which eventually certified the Constitution late in 1996. we have a truly South African Constitution reflecting and responsive to the peculiar characteristics of South Afric tory, needs and aspirations.

This Constitution ef fects one major change in the man which government is constituted. It no longer requires a ernment of "National Unity." Under the Interim Constitutiany political party enjoying a certain minimum percentage

support had the right to be part of the executive of the The National Party (NP) and the Inkatha Freedom Party (IF both participated in the National Unity Government. The NF.W. de Klerk withdrew at the time the new Constitution we ratified, because it could not accept majority decision me the cabinet. The IFPremains in government. But with the elections, this beast called "Government of National Unit come to an end and the majority will have the right to for executive of the country. The process culminating in the Constitution demonstrates the step-by-step approach that have taken to arrive at majority rule in the country.

The constitutional Curt and Independent Mechanisms

We made one fundamental change to the justice system the country: the establishment of a Constitutional Court. Court acts as final arbiter on all Constitutional matters ing the enforcement of the Bill of Rights. In the previous there was no Bill of Rights and no court with authority to overrule the legislature. But the courts, tainted by the system, lacked the confidence of the majority of the population and the European model of a Constitution. We thus for the European model of a Constitutional Court, with a number of judges selected from outside the existing judic sitting for an extended but fixed term. In addition, like European model, courts now certify constitutional question directly to the Constitutional Curt for final disposition very short time the Constitutional Court has established

enviable reputation for independence and wisdom. It has a ready begun to give new direction to jurisprudence in our country. 5

Our experience with the Constitutional Court prompted to set in place a new judicial infrastructure. The center the Judicial Services Cammissic. Luring the apartheid year all judicial appointments were political. Judges were appropriately by the President and the Minister of Justice. Now, all camust be recommended by the Judicial Service Commission, consisting of 15 -17 persons, inducing judges, lawyers, permanent and even a trade unionist. The result has been permanent and to political appointments.

In addition to the Court, the Constitution established pendent mechanisms intended to guard against the abuse of power, malfeasance and violations of human rights. The mo prominent are the Human Rights Commission and the Public Protector. The Commission is composed of ten Commissioner serving seven-year terms. It has a broad mandate, extensi powers of investigation and the authority to bring procee in court on behalf of a wronged individual or group. In a it is charged with promoting respect for human rights and monitoring the implementation of the Bill of Rights, incl economic, social and cultural rights, by government minis tries. The Public Protector has the role of ombudsman, w primary responsibility for monitoring and preventing malf sance and abuse of power. Both institutions are independe the government and benefit from extensive powers of inves gation. In establishing the two bodies, we drew from inte tional experience, promoted legislation in parliament and ated the necessary infrastructure

Morerecently, we put into place a Gender Equality Commission, which has been entrusted with ensuring that step taken to promote gender equality. The Commission works through such methods as monitoring and commenting on legislation to ensure that gender concerns are addressed. example, during the constitutional negotiations there was major debate on the question of indigenous law that was o particular concern to women. Many so-called traditional lers argued that the Bill of Rights should not be applicable their situation because it interferes with their tradition but our women's organizations were also quite powerful, puticularlythe ANC women's league. They would have none of Ultimately, it was agreed that the Bill of Rights trumps thing else.

Nevertheless, the Constitution does leave an important space for indigenous law and the affirmation of South Africation of indigenous law and the affirmation of South Africation of indigenous law and the affirmation of South Africation of the repressed communities. It provides for the creation of an independent commission for the promotion and protection of the rights of cultural, religious and I communities. Apartheid fragmented and divided our country Cur goal is to create a united country. At the same time, aware that we are a people who speak many languages, enjoy different cultures and practice different religions. This sion is designed to provide a platform for people who speak different languages and practice different cultures and regions. Its mechanism is designed to give to varied groups opportunity to take those steps necessary to promote such languages, cultures, and religions.

The question of language alone well demonstrates the dilemmas that we face. Cur Constitution makes provision f

elevai official languages. Many people, including constitutional experts, poke fun at this. But for us, it was a new of liberatim. During apartheid, there were two official languages, English and Afrikaans, both of which were imposed through colonial and apartheid domination. Our new path is expensive preposition, but what alternative did we have? taining English and Afrikaans as the sole official language would have meant maintaining a principle of apartheid. The only other choice would have been an arbitrary selection among the indigenous languages. We were not prepared to dethat.

Transferration of the Justice System

The result after two and one half years is a constitut framework of mechanisms and procedures that should enable all our people to participate in the political and public country. Hopefully, it will help to reverse the culture oby giving people other means to make their voices heard. that in mind, I now turn to our reforms that specifically the Justice Department and the justice system in general.

During the time of apartheid, the Department of Justice used to enforce and implement unjust laws. As a department responsible for the administration of courts, it played a role in upholding the legality of many apartheid laws, an ensuring that the opponents of apartheid were detained or imprisoned. This naturally affected public perception of department. To the majority of South Africans who were divantaged by apartheid, it became the very embodiment of

oppression. Thus a major challenge of the new government been to restructure and transform the department in order ensure a uniform system of justice that guarantees equal tion.

One of the first steps was to consolidate the eleven a heid-based departments into one Department of Justice. Took place on October 1, 1994. The new Department consist astaff of approximately 13,900 people in 540 suboffEices the country. The Mission of the Department, which has been revised to reflect the new constitutional order, aims to:

- Establish and maintain, in the spirit of the Constitut through a democratic process of transformation, a legi mate administration of justice which is ef fiaient, ac accountable, just and user-friendly, as well as repres tive of the South African society.
- Exercise and perform administrative powers, duties and functions in an ef(ficiert, aost-ef fective and transp ner that will ensure that mechanisms are always in pla serve justice.
- Incorporate and expand community participation in the administration of justice.

One crucial requirement for transforming the administration of justice is to create a system that not only reflect responds to the diversity of our entire society, but is a representative of it. Moreover, the adversarial nature of system has long been characterized by unequal access to 1 services. Thus, one challenge of the transformation processed not only to make institutions of justice accountable

also accessible and affordable to all of our citizens. The tive requires creative and innovative approaches towards tutional reforms. Courts need to change their image and become user friendly. At the same time, the Department's infective structures have had to be evaluated with a view to increase their capacities to meet the challenges of the new democratic order.

Representati^eaess and Aajojntability in the COrts

I have referred to the Judicial Services Commission, a innovation. But it applies only to the High Courts, and d affect the Magistrates Courts that handle the overwhelmin majority of criminal and civil disputes. Under the aparth regime, magistrates weretreated as civil servants, with me trappings of judicial independence. It goes without sthat the vast majority were white.

In the last months of the regime a law was passed, graputative independence to the Magistrates under the control a Magistrates Commission composed entirely of appointees the former regime. The effect was to protect the magistrate from any ef forts to diversify the magistracy and to inhit to enhance its representativeness. We have recently passed legislation to create an enlarged Magistrates Commission, eled along lines similar to the Judicial Services Commission, that will supervise the magistracy and insure its independent any political authority. The Magistrates Commission appointed in the coming months will reflect our population.

face throughout the system. We do not believe in quotas. we view the concept more broadly. Eighty-five percent of population is black. Yet, there is not one black chief propulation is not one black

The problem of representativeness is a sensitive one t

Those who have enjoyed privilege over the years natural stress merit and ef ficiency. But we are trying to make of sensitive to human needs and the dignity of people. This essential element when considering standards for appointment. We have found that those Blacks whom we have appointed as magistrates have brought about greater confider in our courts. They have established the courts' legitimes communities are happier. As a result there is less tendence take the law into citizens' own hands. Thus, technical contents to the people do not now have the technical export their predecessors.

This is not to say that we have appointed unqualified people, but rather people with qualifications as lawyers lack the experience that the prior law prevented them from

obtaining. We shouldn't punish them for this lack, though must take measures to ensure that they develop the experion The Magistrates Commission will take responsibility for the ing magistrates to understand the values of the new Constain, and to balance the guaranteed rights of the individual the need to maintain law and order.

We have succeeded over the past two and one-half year appointing large numbers of magistrates from communities that were previously underrepresented. In a dramatic break with the past, the new chief magistrate of Johannesburg, Africa's largest city, is black. The chief magistrate of black. The chief magistrate of black. The chief magistrate of Port Elizabeth is black. A heartland of Afrikanerdom, Bloemfontein, the chief magist is today black. What used to be an exclusively white High is increasingly becoming representative. Since April 1994 Blacks have been appointed as permanent judges of what we call the Supreme Courts. In addition, for the first time the Chief Judge is also black. We have just appointed Ism Mohammed to head the Appeals Court in Bloemfontein.

We also face the problem of creating accountability is courts. Perhaps this problem confronts other countries as How do you make courts accountable? In South Africa, at I there is no sense of accountability, and no body charged investigating complaints. As a result, the public sends a number of complaints to the Minister of Justice. To act of however, would constitute political interference. One tas Magistrates Commission will be to create a mechanism for complaints. We hope that this mechanism will permit member of the public to participate directly.

In the interim, we have opened our courts to the publi

March 7, 1997, (in celebratim of International Women's Day had an open day at all our Magistrates CCurts. We invite women's groups in all local areas to go to court in large bers, where they addressed magistrates and prosecutors wi regard to issues such as violence against women. Again, of March 22 — previously known as Sharpesville Day, but now celebrated as South African Human Rights Day — we opened the courts, this time, to address concerns relating to the Magistrates, prosecutors and court personnel listened to concerns of local organizations about how these sensitive ters weretreated before the courts, and how courts could conditions in which victims of: violence:—!women and child — testify without fear or disgrace. Through such interact among NGOs, women's organizations and others, we hope to sensitize our courts.

Another institution that we inherited from the aparther years is the prosecution authority. Each province has such authority headed by an Attorney General, all of whom were appointed during the apartheid regime. All are white male most are Afrikaner. Shortly before the democratic election apartheid government rushed legislation through parliament to make these Attorneys General independent, beyond the reach of the newly elected government. The law says they accountable to parliament, but no mechanisms are created that accountability. As a result, the Attorneys General are rently accountable to no one.

We are now promoting legislation to change this situal but without derogating from the principle of prosecutorial independence. The choice of whom to prosecute and when with remain in the hands of the prosecuting authority. We have interest in interfering. But we do intend to create a sin national prosecutorial system, at the head of which will national director of public prosecutions. The current Att General will be known as directors of public prosecution will be accountable to the national director in many ways Policy matters will have to be determined by the national director in consultation with the Minister of Justice. The regarded as political interference in some countries (the perhaps not the United States). Our belief, hwever, is the elected representatives of the people should decide on possecutor.

Arecent case demonstrates the awkwardness of the curre situation. Soon after the election, the question of the dependent came before the Constitutional Court. The ANC had consistently opposed capital punishment. When the case are the Government took the position that, indeed, capital punent violated the right to life as well as other provision Constitution. But the Attorneys General took an opposing position. We found ourselves in the strange situation in the Attorneys General, representing the State, argued for tutionality and the duly elected government briefed couns which argued the opposite. Nevertheless, our proposed legition remains controversial and is opposed, not surprising the Attorneys General themselves.

In our ef fort to bring representativeness to the cour have also introduced a system of lay assessors in the Mag trates Courts. It is one ef fort to introduce community ption: it has already helped to create legitimacy and to dan understanding in communities of the role of courts. We this as an essential step towards reversing the culture of

lence and making legitimate the non-violent means of resc disputes.

We are also seeking new approaches to family disputes Until now, matrimonial and related matters were heard in high courts making use of the same adversarial approach t is a hallmark of our legal system. We believe that family should be handled dif ferently, that methods of conciliat mediation should be used, as well as ef forts at counseli have established a pilot project of family courts in Joha Cape Town and Durban. Ultimately, we hope that this proje will result in the introduction of a family court system out the country.

The South African Law CCrmussicn

We have dramatically reformed the South African Law Commission, the body of technical experts whose role was research and draft the laws of the apartheid state. For time, the Law Commission is attending to matters of concerthe average citizens of the country. One of the most important examples is the question of harmonizing South African law with indigenous law. It is a huge enterprise. We have ask Professor N. Nhlapo, from the University of Cape Town and recognized expert on customary law, to serve as resident missioner.

We have asked the Commission to lock at the question violence against women and children. It has published a p on domestic violence and suggested certain amendments to law to deal with such matters. In terms of government pol

we have acceded to a number of international conventions, including the Convention on the Rights of the Child and to Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discriminate Against Women. We are a party to the Beijing decisions and have set up national programs of action both in respect of women and children. A number of steps have already been taken to implement the provisions of the conventions to we I have referred.

The Truth and Reconciliation CCmission

At the moment the nation is involved in the process of exposing the crimes of the apartheid era and seeking a pareconciliation. In 1995, we promoted the legislation and gaged in a process that led to the establishment of the TReconciliation Commission. Our Commission is different the any other truth commission in that it combines the amnest process with the search for truth. In addition, it is a vecentered process with special provisions for victims in the Finally, our commission was established through legislating democratically elected government and not imposed by the President or any international body. It has been a very patory process.

One of the reasons for the success of the Commission to far and for the support that it enjoys lies in the broad-discussion that preceded the legislation. We had a number seminars in South Africa to discuss the establishment of Commission; we faced the question of why we could not have Nuremberg type trials in South Africa. We then went to Pa

ment where we faced a vigorous debate. Parliamentary committees held public hearings in which human rights organitions participated actively. The participation did not enthe formulation of the law. Under the law, the President authorized to appoint the commissioners in consultation with a cabinet. Instead, the President decided upon a process public participation in the nomination process. He set up selection committee that took nominations: from public organicons. The committee presented the President with a short from which he made the appointments.

The Commission itself has pursued its work in a spirit openness and transparency. Essentially all of the hearing been public. Even the Amnesty Committee, which evaluates claims for amnesty by those who committed abuses, has helpublic hearings.

The overall acceptance of the Truth and Reconciliation Commission is also due to two other factors, besides its patory and transparent qualities: its investigative capacits link to prosecutions. The Commission has broad invest tive powers enabling it, for example, to subpoena witness require production of information. Withregard to prosecut many people mistakenly believe that they are excluded. The not so. The two complement each other. The threat of prosecution gives teeth to the requirement to come forward and dithe truth. There have been prosecutions. And there have a been applications for amnesty .Amnesty is not automatic be rather depends on the political nature of the crime for weather depends on the political nature of the crime for the crime for the crime for the crime for

is sought and the willingness of the applicant to discloss relevant details. Some applications for amnesty have been refused. In any event, those who did not apply by May 10, have forfeited their right to apply for amnesty.

Crime Preeticn

Lastly, we have been devoting considerable time to the development of a national crime prevention strategyAs I s at the outset, violent crime, though an intimate element apartheid regime, did not disappear with its conclusion. reasons fair or unfair; it now threatens the image of the South Africa and its capacity to achieve the ambitious go we have set for ourselves. In response, we have developed national crime prevention strategy, which is the first of in the history of our country. It is not a purely theoret document, but a practical program with strategies to cont crime in the near term and, hopefully, toprevent it in the There are just under 20 "national programs," and in respe each there is a lead department - police in respect of so justice or correctional services in respect of others. The grams are already being implemented so as to ensure for t first time that. South Africa fights crime on a systematic

CCnclusicn

As I have tried to indicate, in a period of two and on to three years, we have taken a large number of steps to to democratize our society from top to bottom and to lay basis fer its transformatic. In the media, you continue t stories of pain, bleed and suffering. You may be presente the bath water, though very seldom is your attention draw the baby that was born and is now growing.

There is no fairy tale ending. Even miracles create problem has been problem is perceived depends to a large degree how you view the miracle itself. I conclude with a pair of anecdotes that illustrate the dilemmas we face because of success we have thus far achieved.

There have been reports of chaos in the hospitals in of country. Hundreds of women and children are waiting hours and hours to be treated. That is true; it is a problem we address. But these women and children have come to the hospitals; because, for the first time in our history, free care exists for children under six, for all pregnant women for all flactating mothers. Thus there has been a surge of going to our hospitals.

Some suggest that the solution is to charge fees. Bat Minister of Health, who has been highly criticized in the did not succumb. She said our hospitals shall be open and shall be free medical care as promised. She recognized the problem was in part one of education and in part one of a to primary care. In response, she has invested in nearly hundred primary care clinics throughout the country, many places where no facilities previously existed. Now the mi is encouraging people to take advantage of primary health facilities before seeking help in hospitals.

At the level of education, as well, the situation is "All six-year-olds must be admitted to school. The result overcrowding and angry teachers, frustrated by the increawork load. But there is another, overlooked reality-for t

time in history, black six-year -olds are kerefiting from compulsory education.

These accomplishments are not inconsiderable. But we need much bigger things. I think on balance that the baby was born in April 1994 is walking. Thank you.

Endnotes

- 1 In keeping with the South African usage, "Black" refers members of all "classified races" under apartheid, inc ing South Africans of Indian or mixed race origins.
- 2 The Interim Constitution, Act 2000 of 1993, came into on April 27, 1994, the first day of the 1994 elections Constitution, which was adopted by the Constitutional Assembly on May 8, 1996, and subsequently modified to meet the concerns of the Constitutional Court, took ef beginning on February 4, 1997. Some provisions of the Interim Constitution, however, including the controver Government of National Unity remain in effact until A 30, 1999.
- 3 Certification of the Constitution of the Republic of Sc Africa 1996, CCT 23/96 (6 September 1996) (rejecting of tain articles of the draft Constitution under the term Article 71 of the Interim Constitution); Certification Amended Constitution 1996, CCT 37/96 (4 December 1996) (pertifying the amended constitution).
- 4 Etisircic because the ANC never takes decisions merely majority vote. I have been a member of ANC Executive Committee since its inception in 1990 and there has no been a single occasion when decisions have been taken vote. There are huge debates and diffacences among us. ultimately, we always manage to arrive at a decision become sus. Perhaps that is in part due to the culture ANC its all-inclusive approach and of course, the

- leadership of our president. But even in cabinet we ha always tried to arrive at decisions in the same way.
- For example, the court declared capital and corporal prishment to be unconstitutional. State v. Makwanyane and another, CCT 3/94 (6 June 1995); 1995 (3) SA 391 CC. It that various presumptions of our criminal procedure are unconstitutional. Se, e.g., Suzuma and others, OCT 5/9 April 1995); 1995 (2) SA 642 (OC). It held that every person has the right to information, the right to acceed dockets of the prosecution, and many related rights. Fouth Africa, at least, that has been a dramatic development.
- 6 Canstitutim of the Republic of South Africa, Article 1 (Appointment of Judicial Officials), Article 178 (Judicial Services: Commission).
- 7 d, Art. 184 (Functions of the Human Rights Commission) and the Human Rights Commission Act (No. 54 of 1994).
- 8 There were separate departments for each of the ten "h lands" or putatively independent states, in addition t RSA.
- 9 The Holiday itself is celebrated on March 8.
- 10 . See Promotion of National Unity and Reconciliation A Act No. 34 of 1995, inpartiular, Chapter 5 ("Reparation Rehabiliation of Victims").